UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,200	06/02/2006	Rasoul Sedaghat Kerdar	512100-2058	3356
	7590 11/02/200 AWRENCE & HAUG	EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AV	ENUE- 10TH FL.	LEA, CHRISTOPHER RAYMOND		
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No. 10/596,200	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination KERDAR ET AL.		
	YVONNE L. EYLER	Art Unit		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC				

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for F	Review filed
 Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cond☐ The request does not include reasons why a☐ A proposed amendment is included with the☐ Other:	review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to rur the mail date of the last Office communication, if no	n from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance where the brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this crunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	terferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been a there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period chever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the dupon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has be Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has bee action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	
(1) <u>/YVONNE L. EYLER/</u> .	(3) <u>Christopher Lea</u> .
(2) <u>Michael Woodward</u> .	(4)